PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF CHARCOAL, SAWDUST AND SUGARCANE BAGASSE AS SOLID FUEL MATERIALS

S. Abdulkareem^{1*}, H.A Badejo¹, I.I Ahmed², J.A. Adebisi², Y. Taiwo², T.K Ajiboye¹ and A.T Abdulrahim³

 ¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering & Technology, University of Ilorin, P.M.B. 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria
 ²Department of Materials & Metallurgical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering & Technology, University of Ilorin, P.M.B. 1515, Ilorin, Nigeria
 ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Maiduguri, P.M.B. 1069, Maiduguri, Nigeria

Article History: Received 21.12.2016; Revised 27.6.2017; Accepted 19.12.2017

ABSTRACT

This paper reports on the physical and mechanical characteristics of briquettes produced from charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse using molasses with sodium silicate as binders. Charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse were mixed in a respective ratio of 20:20:60, 20:30:50, 20:40:40, 20:50:30 and 20:60:20. The briquettes were produced using Budenberg dial gauge compression machine, with a pressure of 64 MPa at 120 seconds dwell time. Physical properties (relaxation ratio, compaction ratio and shattering index) and mechanical property (compressive strength) of the produced briquettes were investigated. Results show that briquette with sample composition of 20:30:50 has better physical properties with a relaxation ratio of 1.562, a compaction ratio of 7.573 and shatter index of 99.6%, while sample with ratio 20:40:40 has the highest compressive strength of 55.43 kN/m².

KEYWORDS: Briquette; biodegradable; biomass; physical and mechanical properties; shatter index; solid fuel

^{*} Corresponding Email: sulkarm@yahoo.com

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Agricultural residues (biomass) are the most promising energy resources for developing countries (Onuegbu et al., 2010; Oladeji 2012). In recent years, there has been a strong worldwide interest in the development of technologies that exploit renewable energy sources otherwise known as green energy, both for environmental and economic reasons (Oyedepo, 2012). More so accumulation and disposal of some of these major sources of renewable energy which are mostly wastes at the various cities and town have always created an environmental problem (Aina, Adetogun & Iyiola, 2009).

The requirement for renewable and sustainable alternative sources of energy are on the rise as a result of depletion of the non-renewable fossil energy resources and the demerit associated with fossil fuels which include; global warming, the need for alternative sources of energy and intermittent supply. In light of this, biomass is of great interest because of its advantages such as availability, low price, carbon dioxide neutral feature, and high potential (Aina, Adetogun & Iyiola, 2009; Adegoke & Lawal, 1997; Adegbulugbe, 1994; Sambo, 2009; Emerhi, 2011).

Many researchers have carried out different studies on varieties of biomass materials and their combinations with the aim of utilizing waste materials (i.e. agro-waste and other type of waste) as alternative sources' of energy. Among these researchers are; Abdu and Sadiq (2014) carbonized agricultural biomass (corn cobs) in a metal kiln of 900mm height and 600mm diameter. They produced four different briquettes charcoal grade using a locally sourced tapioca starch as a binder at concentrations of 6.0, 10.0, 14.0 and 19.0% w/w. The briquettes they produced were characterized and compared with bagasse and wood charcoal, they concluded that carbonizing corn cobs biomass resources into briquette charcoal is an effective means of managing solid wastes and a viable means of alternative energy source. In addition, Emerhi (2011) carried out study on briquettes produced from mixed sawdust of three tropical hardwood species which are; Afzelia africana, Terminalia superba and Melicia *elcelsa*, with starch, cow dung and wood ash independently used as binders. This researcher, mixed the sawdust in the ratio of 50:50 with the binder using different ratio. He studied the combustion related properties such as percentage volatile matter, percentage ash content, percentage fixed carbon and calorific value of the briquettes. He concluded that briquette produces from sample of Afzelia africana and

Terminalia superba combination bonded with starch is more suitable for alternative source of energy, having a highest calorific value of 33116 kcal/kg.

Adetogun et al. (2013) examined combustion properties of briquettes produced from maize cob sieved into different mesh sizes of 2.30 mm, 4.75 mm and 6.30 mm with starch used as a binder. Combustion related properties of the briquettes were determined. They observed from their result that the calorific value is directly proportional to the maize cobs particle size. Therefore, they concluded that the sample with particle size of 6.30 mm has the highest calorific value of 24970 kcal/kg. In a related work of Rotimi et al. (2013), they investigate the combustion characteristics of briquettes produced from some biomass materials which are water hyacinth with plantain peel used as binders, red mangrove wood, charcoal and Anthronotha macrophylla (firewood). The characteristics investigated includes calorific value, ignition time, burning rate, specific fuel consumption, fuel efficiency and water boiling time. The aim of their study is to investigate how briquettes produced from water hyacinth will compete with charcoal, firewood and red mangrove wood. Their results showed that water hyacinth compete favourably with charcoal, firewood and red mangrove wood for having a fuel efficiency of 28.17±0.88%, which was surpassed only by charcoal with fuel efficiency value of 31.29±0.19%. They concluded that water hyacinth briquette is a good alternative source of energy with high material strength as well as high value combustible fuel (Rotimi, 2015).

It can be observed from the past works highlighted above that charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse are rarely combined to be used as solid fuel. Furthermore, the binders used contain very low carbon content. Therefore, there is need to study the physical and mechanical characteristics of these three biomaterials (charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse) combined for production of solid fuel briquettes with sodium silicate and molasses as a binder.

2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials used in this study are charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse (Figure 1). These materials were collected locally at Tanke, and Zango in Ilorin, Kwara state. The samples were dried for 5 days to attain a bulk mass of 2000 g for charcoal, 2866 g for sawdust and 2345g for sugarcane bagasse. Bulk density

is a desirable fuel parameter because it helps in estimating the heat or strength of any briquette (Jitthep & Akarawit 2013).

The charcoal was pulverized, using ingredient milling machine while sugarcane bagasse was ground with a grinder. The materials were sieved through a sieve size of 0.7mm for charcoal and sawdust while sugarcane bagasse was prepared between 1.5 and 2.41mm flakes-like structure (Figure 2). Sodium silicate and molasses were combined as a binding agent.




Figure 1 Materials used for the study before processing (a) Charcoal (b) Sawdust (c) Sugarcane bagasse




Figure 2 The materials used after processing (a) Charcoal (b) Sawdust (c) Sugarcane bagasse

2.1 Production of the Briquette

The briquettes were produced using Budenberg hydraulic compression machine with maximum compression capacity of 1,560kN used for densification together with a cylindrical mould (Figure 3) of 64 mm internal diameter. Briquettes of varied biomass proportions were produced by blending the materials (charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse) in various proportions (Table 1). Three samples of briquette each were produced for every mix of the materials


with 13.8% (18 g) of Sodium silicate (Na2SiO3) and 9.2% (12 g) molasses based on total mass of 130g was used as a binder. A pressure of 64 MPa with 120 seconds dwell time was maintained throughout the briquettes production. The samples of the briquette produced are shown in Figure 4

S/N	Charcoal	Sawdust	Sugarcane bagasse
1	20	20	60
2	20	30	50
3	20	40	40
4	20	50	30
5	20	60	20

Table 1 Proportion of charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse



Figure 3 Metal mould used for the briquette



(All mix is in proportion of charcoal to sawdust to sugarcane bagasse respectively)

2.2 Analysis of the Physical Properties of the Briquette

2.2.1 Relaxation Ratio

The relaxation ratio (R_{rel}) of the briquette is the ratio of the maximum density to the relaxed density, the R_{rel} was determined using Equation (1) in line with ASAE-269/04 (ASABE 2010).

$$R_{rel} = \rho_{max} / \rho_{rel} \tag{1}$$

```
where \rho_{max} = maximum density (kg/m^3)
\rho_{rel} = relaxed density (kg/m^3)
```

The maximum density ($\rho_{max}=m/v$) was obtained immediately after the compression while, the relaxed density $\rho_{rel} = m/v$ was obtained after 48 hours of production. Where *m* is in *kg*, and *v* in *m*³

2.2.2 Compaction Ratio

In accordance to ASAE-EP413/02, the compaction ratio R_{com} which is the ratio of maximum density to the bulk density (Haque 2013). The compaction ratio was obtained by Equation (2)

$$R_{com} = \rho_{max} / \rho_{bulk} \tag{2}$$

2.2.3 Shattering Index

Shattering index (*Sh*_{in}) is a means of indicating the ability of briquettes to withstand breakage during handling. The shattering index was determined by weighing the briquettes before and after dropping the briquette from a height of 1320 mm using a digital weighing balance. After the briquette was dropped from the height, the mass of the largest part of the briquette that holds together was then measured (Figure 5). The briquettes shattering index (durability index) was determined by Equation (3) according to ASTM D440-07 (ASTM, 2012) in line with the work of Oladeji and Oyetunji (2013).

$$Sh_{in} = M_{ad} / M_{bd} \times 100 \tag{3}$$

where Sh_{in} = Shattering index

 M_{ad} = Weight of briquette after dropping

*M*_{bd} = Weight of briquettes before dropping




Figure 5 Set-up during shatter index test (a) Weighing of sample before test (b) Shattered sample after the test

2.3 Analysis of the Mechanical Properties of the Briquette

2.3.1 Compressive Strength

The compressive strength which determines how briquettes can be handled is a criterion of briquette durability (Jindaporn & Songchai, 2007). A briquette sample with good compressive strength can easily be transported, packed, or handled (Jitthep & Akarawit, 2013). The compressive strength was determined with the aid of a 50 kN load capacity Universal Testing Machine (UTM) model FS50AT in accordance to ASTM D-2166-85 (Kers et al, 2010). The flat surface of the briquette sample was placed on the horizontal metal plate of the machine (Figure 6). A constant load of 1 N was applied at a constant rate of 0.5 mm/ min until the briquette failed. The briquettes absorbed compressive strength of 22kN with no sign of failure, this was noticed by a drop in the value of the applied load as indicated by the digital read-out on the compression machine. The average of three readings each was taken from each sample and their values recorded




Figure 6 Sample within the compression plate of Universal Testing Machine

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Physical Properties

3.1.1 Relaxation and Compaction Ratio

The results of the density, compaction and relaxation ratios are presented in Table 2. It can be observed from Table 2 that the values of the maximum densities obtained in this work ranges between 876.7kg/m³ and 894.2 kg/m³. These values are better than the results obtained by Oladeji (2012) with the densities of 561 kg/m³ obtained from melon shell and 741.13 kg/m³ for cassava briquettes. Olorunnisola (2007) recorded density of 630 kg/m³ for coconut husk briquette. Olorunnisola (2007) and Oliveira et al. (2014) reported density of 600 kg/m³ for banana peel and density values of 534 kg/m³ for rice husk was recorded by Deepak and Jnanesh (2015). Furthermore, the low values of relaxation ratios (Table 2) (which reduces with reduction of sugarcane bagasse quantity) obtained for briquettes in this study when compared with the ones of between -1.80 and 2.25 obtained by Olorunnisola (2007) for coconut husk briquettes, and between 1.65 and 1.80 obtained by O'Dogherty and Wheeler (2001) for hay wafer briquette and 1.17, 1.44 and 1.71 obtained by Oladeji (2012) for mixture of corncob and groundnut shell, groundnut shell and corncob respectively - are indications of more stable briquettes. This implies that the briquettes would experience minimal relaxation and this is a very good attribute as briquettes would not crumble with time, especially during transportation and handling. The values of density and compaction ratios in this work range between 0.6028 - 0.6402 and 4.8370 - 5.3200 respectively. The high values obtained for the

density and compaction ratios is a pointer to the fact that the residues used in this study lent themselves easily to process of densification. This is because; the closer the density ratio is to the value 1, the better the densification process (Oladeji & Oyetunji 2013).

Sample ratio	Density ratio	Compaction ratio	Bulk Density (kg/m³)	Relaxation ratio	Maximum Density (kg/m³)
C:Sa:Su					
20:20:60	0.6028	5.0430	175.9	1.6390	887.10
20:30:50	0.6402	4.9820	179.5	1.5620	894.20
20:40:40	0.6300	4.8370	181.4	1.5870	877.40
20:50:30	0.6325	5.0360	174.1	1.5810	876.70
20:60:20	0.6330	5.3200	167.3	1.5800	890.10


Table 2. The density, compaction and relaxation ratio of the briquette

C=Charcoal; Sa=Sawdust; Su=Sugarcane bagasse

3.1.2 Shattering index

The results obtained for shattering index is presented in Figure 7. It can be observed that the specimen with a ratio of 20:30:50 charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse respectively has a smaller mass difference. The ranges of percentage weight-loss (0.387 – 39.774) obtained in this work, to a very large extent agrees with the ranges of values obtained by Tembe et al. (2014) with briquettes made from groundnut shell, rice husks and sawdust of *Daniella Oliveri*, which are between 9.7% and 34.6%. The shatter index values obtained by Rotimi (2015) for briquettes made from *White Afara* sawdust with no binder, with banana peel as a binder, with yam peels as binder and with the cassava peel as binder ranges between 0.61–0.96, 0.67–0.97, 0.72–0.99 and 0.77–1.00 respectively. The shatter index values obtained in this work fall between 0.602 and 0.996, which agrees with the value obtained by Rotimi (2015).

The maximum shatter resistance values of 99.61% was obtained for briquette of ratio 20:30:50, while the minimum values of 60.22% was obtained for 20:50:30. This value (99.613%) is higher than 99.1% shatter resistance obtained by Tembe et al. (2014) in his work. The higher shattered index value of 99.61% obtained in this work can be responsible for the fact that there exist better cohesion force between the molecules of sugarcane bagasse as against the low cohesion force between the molecule of rice husks used in the work of Tembe et al. The cohesive force between the molecules ensures high energy bond when the materials were bonded together.



3.2 Mechanical Property

The result of the analysis of compressive strength of the briquette is presented in Table 3. The compressive strength is one of the indices used to assess briquettes ability to be packed and transported with little or no breakage (Stephen, Kwasi & Nicholas, 2013). The results of compressive strength (i.e. the force required to crush the briquettes) as shown in Table 3, revealed that the compressive strength scattered about the mean values of 48.242 kN/m² which is below the value of compressive strength obtained for fuels with ratio 20:20:60, 20:30:50 and 20:40:40. It was observed that the maximum compressive strength of 55.43 kN/m² was obtained for the briquette sample with ratio 20:40:40 and minimum value of 40.21 kN/m² for fuel ratio 20:50:30. Oladeji and Oyetunji (2013) obtained compressive strength of 1.76 kN/m² and 1.53 kN/m² for yam peels and cassava respectively. The high compressive strength can be said to be as a result of large particle size (Stephen, Kwasi & Nicholas, 2013), which compared favourably with that of Oladeji and Oyetunji (2013).

Sample Ratio C:Sa:Su	Force at Break (N)	Compressive Strength (kN/m ²)
20:20:60	162.4	51.74
20:30:50	156.9	49.99
20:40:40	174.0	55.43
20:50:30	126.2	40.21
20:60:20	137.6	43.84

C=Charcoal; Sa=Sawdust; Su=Sugarcane bagasse

4.0 CONCLUSION

Investigation of the physical and mechanical properties of charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse biomass materials as solid fuel has been carried out. The following conclusions resulting from the outcome can be made:

- (a) sample with charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse in proportion of 20:30:50 has the best physical and mechanical characteristics having highest maximum density of 894.20 kg/m³ and a relaxation ratio of 1.562
- (b) the highest shattering index of 99.61% and compressive strength of approximately 50 kN/m² is recorded for sample with 20:30:50 charcoal, sawdust and sugarcane bagasse respectively; this implies that the sample has less likelihood to crumble during handling/transportation.
- (c) the briquettes produced can be said to be durable and good for transportation, handling and packaging.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to appreciate Messrs Ndagi, M., (Mechanical Dept.), Yusuf, A.K. (Civil Dept.) and Lanre Abubakar (Geology Dept.) for their technical supports in the use of laboratory facilities of the University.

REFERENCES

- Abdu, Z and Sadiq, A.G, (2014). Production and Characterization of Briquette Charcoal by Carbonization of Agro-Waste. *Energy and Power*, 4(2):41-47.
- Adegbulugbe, A. O. (1994). Energy-environmental issues in Nigeria. International Journal of Global Energy, 6(12): 7 -18.
- Adegoke, C. O., & Lawal, G. T. (1999). Preliminary Investigation of Sawdust as High Grade Solid Fuel. *Journal of Renewal energy*, 1(2):102–107.
- Adetogun, A. C., Ogunjobi, K. M., & Are, D. B. (2013). Combustion Properties of Briquettes Produced from Maize Cob of Different Particle Sizes. *Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife and Environmental*, 6(1):28-38.

- Aina, O. M., Adetogun, A. C., & Iyiola, K. A. (2009). Heat Energy From Value-Added Sawdust Briquettes Of Albizia Zygia. *Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies* and Management, 2(1): 42-49.
- ASABE. (2010). Compaction Ratio Analysis in American Society of Agricultural and Biological Engineers: ASABE.
- ASTM, (2012). Standard Test Method of Drop Shatter Test for Fuel. America: ASTM International.
- Bianca G. de Oliveira, M., Ozair, S., Cintia, M., Dachamir, H., Antonio Pedro, N. d. O., & Noeli, S. (2014). Production and Characterization of Fuel Briquettes from Banana Leaves Waste. *The Italian Association of Chemical Engineering*, 37:439-444.
- Deepak, K. B., & Jnanesh, N. A. (2015). Experimental Analysis of Physical and Fuel Characteristics of Areca Leaves Briquette International Journal of Science and Research, 4(1):1261-1264.
- Emerhi, E. A. (2011). Physical and Combustion Properties of Briquettes Produced from Sawdust of Three Hardwood Species and Different Organic Binders. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, 2(6):236-246.
- Haque, E. (2013). Estimating Bulk Density of Compacted Grains in Storage Bins and Modifications of Janssen's Load Equations as Affected by Bulk Density. *Food Science & Nutrition*, 1(2):150-156.
- Jindaporn, J., & Songchai, W. (2007). Production and Characterization of Rice Husk Based Charcoal Briquettes. *KKU Engineering Journal*, 34(4): 391-398.
- Jitthep, P., & Akarawit, M. (2013). Properties of Solid Fuel Briquettes Produced from Rejected Material of Municipal Waste Composting. *International Conference on Sustainable Future for Human Security*, 17:603-610.
- Kers J, Kulu P, Aruniit A, Laurmaa V, Križan, P, Šooš. L and Kask Ü. (2010). Determination of physical, mechanical and burning characteristics of polymeric waste material briquettes. *Estonian Journal of Engineering*, (16)4:307–316.
- O'Dogherty, M. J., & Wheeler, J. A. (2001). Compression of straw to high densities in closed cylindrical dies. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research*, 80(4): 313-390.
- Oladeji, J. T. (2012). Comparative Study of Briquetting of Few Selected Agro-Residues Commonly Found in Nigeria. *The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, 13(2):80-86.

- Oladeji, J. T., & Oyetunji, O. R. (2013). Investigations into Physical and Fuel Characteristics of Briquettes Produced from Cassava and Yam Peels. *Journal of Energy Tecnologies and Policy*, 3(7):40-46.
- Olorunnisola, A. (2007). Production of Fuel Briquettes from Waste Paper and Coconut Husk Admixtures. *Agricultural Engineering International*, 9:1-11.
- Onuegbu, T. U., Ogbu, I. M., Ilochi, N. O., Okafor, I., Obumselu, O. F., & Ekpunobi, U.
 E. (2010). Enhancing the Efficiency of Coal Briquette in Rural Nigeria using Pennisetum Purpurem. *Advances in Natural and Applied Sciences*, 4(3):299-304.
- Oyedepo, S. O. (2012). Energy and Sustainable Development in Nigeria: the way forward. *Journal of Energy, Sustainability and Society*. 2(15):1-17.
- Rotimi, M. D. (2015). Some Physical and Mechanical Characteristics of Briquettes of White Afara (Terminalia superba) Sawdust and Organic Binders. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Agricultural Sciences*, 2(3):55-60.
- Rotimi, M. D., Onome, A. D., & Usman, S. M. (2013). A Combustion Characteristics of Traditional Energy Sources and Water Hyacinth Briquettes. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Environmental Sciences*, 1(7):144-151.
- Sambo, A. S. (2009). Strategic Development in Renewable Energy in Nigeria. *International* Association for Energy Economics, 4:15-19.
- Stephen, J. M., Kwasi, F. M., & Nicholas, A. D. (2013). Effect of species, particle size and compacting pressure on relaxed density and compressive strength of fuel briquettes. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*, 4(1):1-6.
- Tembe E T., Otache, P. O., & Ekhuemelo, D. O. (2014). Density, Shatter Index, and Combustion Properties of Briquettes Produced from Groundnut Shells, Rice Husks and Saw Dust Of Daniellia Oliveri. *Journal of Applied Biosciences*, 82:7372-7378.